

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/603,263	06/24/2003		Mathilde Benveniste		7726	
	7590 11/23/2007				EXAMINER		
,	Mathilde Benveniste 76 Harding Drive South Orange, NJ 07079				SOL, ANTHONY M		
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2619		
						I	
					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
					11/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/603,263	BENVENISTE, MATHILDE
Examiner	Art Unit
Anthony Sol	2619

Potoro the Filing of an Annual Priot			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Anthony Sol	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nova Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later III
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •	26(a) and the annuaria	de endemaios for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origonal than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed as: A brief in agent	liaman with 27 OFD 44 27 mount has	Clark with the transfer of	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	•
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-40</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1-11	$^{\prime}$
13. Other:		Minist!	
		ANIAIG CHAN	11/20/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claims 21, 23, 25, and 27 require further search and consideration.